

# Steering Committee Meeting Scenic and Recreational Highways Plan Update

July 15, 2009 1:00pm to 4:00pm WSDOT Headquarters 310 Maple Park Ave SE, Capital Conference Room Olympia, WA 98504

# **Meeting Summary**

# **Attendance**

### **Steering Committee Members in Attendance:**

Dianne Croal, National Park Service

Jim Eychaner, Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office

Hugo Florez, Washington State Department of Natural Resources

Anne Fritzel, Office of Growth Management, Washington State Department of Commerce

Betsy Grabel, Office of Tourism, Washington State Department of Commerce

Ruth Harvey, Association of Washington Counties

Maree Lerchen, Local Byway Group Representative

Dean Moberg FHWA

Annette Nesse, Tribal Transportation Planning Organization

Paul Parker, Washington State Transportation Commission

Randy Person, Washington State Parks

Paula Reeves, WSDOT Highways and Local Programs

Rosemary Siipola, MPO/RTPO Coordinating Committee

#### **Steering Committee Members Absent:**

Association of Washington Cities

Cascade Bicycle Club

State Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation

#### Other Interested Parties in Attendance:

Tim Alling, Private Citizen Representing Couliee Corridor Scenic Byway

Greg Arnold, Makah Tribe

Victoria Arsenia, Northwest Tribal Tourism/Pacific Coast Scenic Byway

Megan Beeby, WSDOT Tribal Liaison

Ann Briggs, WSDOT Communications Office

Aaron Butters, WSDOT Highways and Local Programs

Vicky Cummings, Grays Harbor Council of Governments Representing Hidden Coast Scenic Byway

Ann Dunphy, National Forest Service

Ken Gaylor, Private Citizen Representing Couliee Corridor Scenic Byway

Carol Graham, Private Citizen Representing International Selkirk Loop

Harry Hayter, Private Citizen

Karl Herzog, WSDOT Strategic Assessment Office

Tim Homann, Skamania County

Leslie Johnson, Squaxin Island Tribe/Pacific Coast Scenic Byway

Ryan Karlson, Washington State Parks

Carole MacDonald, Private Citizen Representing Mt. Baker Scenic Byway

Adele McCormick, WSDOT Planning Office

Jennifer Meisner, Washington Trust for Historic Preservation

Mary Kay Nelson, Private Citizen Representing White Pass Scenic Byway

Chris Parsons, Washington State Parks

Christian Pond, King County

Nancy Trucano, Private Citizen Representing Cascade Loop

Mary Hayter, Private Citizen

Jim Sayce, Private Citizen Representing Lewis and Clark Trail

Sharon Zimmerman, WSDOT

## Introduction

Paula Reeves, WSDOT, called the meeting to order and gave a background presentation on Washington's Scenic and Recreational Highways Plan Update including requirements, timeline and content of the State statutes. Paul Parker, Washington State Transportation Commission, gave a background presentation on Washington's Transportation Plan and how the Scenic and Recreational Highways Plan fits into this broader plan. Karl Herzog, WSDOT, discussed goals and performance measures, what they are and why they are important. Charlotte Claybrooke, WSDOT, discussed the data that WSDOT intends to collect as part of this Plan Update process.

Presentation materials are available on the Plan Update website: http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/LocalPrograms/ScenicByways/BywaysPlan.htm

# **Discussion**

Following the presentation, meeting attendees spent the majority of the meeting discussing questions and concerns. The following is a summary of the key points and questions from the discussion. To receive a full transcript of the meeting contact the State Scenic Byway Program at 360-705-7258 or email Reevesp@wsdot.wa.gov.

#### **Key points and questions:**

What is the difference between the State Scenic and Recreational Highway System and the National Scenic Byway Grant Program?

The State Scenic and Recreational Highway System is not connected to the National Scenic Byways Grant Program with one exception, a byway has to be recognized in State law to become a National Scenic Byway. Once a byway is designated as a National Scenic Byway, it becomes eligible to apply for National Scenic Byway grants. Other byways designated in State law are also eligible for the National Scenic Byway grants.

Include marketing efforts, signage, tourism traffic measures, lodging, and retail sales tax as inputs into the Plan Update.

There is a lot of information in local byway plans.

The Steering Committee only has 2 local byway group representative. The concern is that the Steering Committee will not represent the needs/issues/concerns/priorities of the local byway representatives.

There is a need to create a common denominator between the state program and the local programs so they are measuring the same things.

The state is diverse. The Plan Update should address all the different parts of the state. Consider holding more public meetings around the state.

The process seems very top down rather than bottom up. The concern is that citizens representing the individual byways won't have a strong enough voice in the process.

As part of the Plan Update, develop an inventory of existing conditions and threats to the different sections of byways to give a snapshot in time of what is currently on the system. Then, develop a strategy to develop and maintain the system. Use the expertise of people who are participating in the process.

There are existing transportation elements of comprehensive plans and other plans that should be incorporated into this Plan Update.

One goal should be the creation of a standard measure of tourism traffic.

The Scenic Byway Program is a marketing and awareness effort. It is not about what is on the ground or transportation.

The local byway representatives should form an alliance.

Someone from the Governor's Office and the Transportation Commission should be involved in this process to avoid surprises.

There is a lot of discussion about measuring facts and there should be more discussion about unmeasurable benefits. Like the idea sharing through workshops.

WSDOT could help with developing standard plans for vehicle pull outs.

Concerned about the timeline.

Does the Legislature have to approve the Plan Update?
-No, WSDOT's Secretary Paula Hammond signs off on the Plan Update.

How do the Local Scenic Byway Plans connect to City and County Comprehensive Plans and Regional Transportation Plans?

This is an opportunity for improved coordination and connection.

Will some byways be de-designated as part of this process?

It is very unlikely that supported byways would be de-designated. There is an opportunity to ensure that the sections of state highway that are identified in the State Law are accurate.

Need to create better and bigger maps.

Develop a graphic that shows the inter-relationships between the State Scenic and Recreational Highway System and the Byways that are eligible for the National Scenic Byway grants.

The National Scenic Byway grant program is the only source of funding for certain work. Local byway representatives need to continue to have access and eligibility for these funds.

Please hold another Steering Committee Meeting in September.